

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHOK BHUSHAN  
&  
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/11TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35820 of 2015 (B)  
-----

PETITIONER(S):  
-----

JALASTEENAL AGED 40 YEARS  
W/O.SAM, T.C.38/1547, MEENAKOM  
MELEPAZHAVANADI, CHALAI PO THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.RAJESH KANNAN  
SRI.A.S.SHAMMY RAJ

RESPONDENT(S):  
-----

1. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT, VAZHUTHAKKAD  
THYCAUD P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 014.
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
THAMPANOR POLICE STATION, THYCAUD P.O.  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695014.
3. SUNITHA KUMARI  
AGED 36, D/O.MURALEEDHARAN NAIR, T.C.18/1072(2)  
SARASWATHI VILASOM, PEROORKONAM, THRIKKANNAPURAM  
ARAMADA P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 032.

R1 & 2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. C.R. SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02-12-2015,  
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 35820 of 2015 (B)

-----

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

-----

P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE PLAINT IN O.S.NO.1986/2015 ON THE FILE OF MUNSIF COURT  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

-----

NIL

// True Copy //

P.A. To Judge

sou.

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ & A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J

---

W.P(C). No. 35820 of 2015

---

Dated this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, CJ.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader. No notice being issued to the third respondent, in view of the order which is being passed.

2. Petitioner has filed this writ petition alleging police harassment. Petitioner's case is that the petitioner is plaintiff in the civil suit, O.S No.1986 of 2015, where the third respondent is the defendant. Allegation is that the third respondent is filing complaint against the petitioner and the second respondent is repeatedly summoning the petitioner to police station.

3. Learned Government Pleader after obtaining instructions submits that the petitioner was never summoned at the police station and in the writ petition also no such date has been given, where it is alleged that petitioner has been summoned.

4. There are no materials available on record to come to a conclusion that any police harassment is made to petitioner. We thus are of the view that no case has been made out to issue a direction as prayed for.

The writ petition is closed.

Sd/-  
ASHOK BHUSHAN  
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-  
A.M.SHAFFIQUE,  
JUDGE