

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/4TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35615 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER(S) :

**A.T.CHACKO, AGED 57 YEARS,
S/O.THOMA, ANICKATHOTTIYIL HOUSE,
PANICKANKUDI P.O., PIN- 685 564, IDUKKI DISTRICT,
(INSPECTOR, RETIRED ON 31.10.2014 FROM MUNNAR DEPOT)
(PENSION RECEIVING FROM KOTHAMANGALAM DEPOT).**

BY ADV. SRI.K.P.JUSTINE (KARIPAT)

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 023.**
- 2. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (ADMINISTRATION),
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 023.**
- 3. THE ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
KOTHAMANGALAM DEPOT.- 685 561.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
KSRTC, MUNNAR DEPOT.- 681 009.**

BY ADV. SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, S.C, KSRTC

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 35615 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PENSION PASS ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 08.10.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PA.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

K. HARILAL, J.

= = = = =

W.P. (C) No.35615 of 2015

- - - - -

Dated this the 25th day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner entered into service of Kerala State Road Transport Corporation(KSRTC), as conductor on 30.06.1988 and retired on 31.10.2014. During his service, the petitioner had availed leave for several days and such leave period was regularised then and there. But, after repeated requests, the leave salary arrears was not paid to the petitioner so far. Similarly, arrear of pension with effect from 11/2015 to 04/2015 has not been disbursed to him so far, though, the petitioner has approached the authorities and requested for the same several times. Aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondent, the petitioner made Ext.P2 representation, to the 1st respondent. But, the same has not been considered so far.

-: 2 :-

2. Having regard to the grievance projected in this Writ Petition, I am of the view that the same deserves to be considered and addressed by the 1st respondent, at the earliest. In this view of the matter, the 1st respondent is directed to consider Ext.P2 and pass order, at the earliest, at any rate, within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of the copy of this judgment.

This Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
K. HARILAL,
JUDGE

DST

//True copy//

P.A. To Judge