

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/26TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35420 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

PRADEEP BABU B.V
PARAYIL KONATHU SIVA SAILAM
NEAR KUSAVARKAL N.S.S.KARAYOGAM, MUKKOLAKKAL.P.O
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADVS.SMT.A.K.PREETHA
SRI.C.ANIL KUMAR

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT.P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695023
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR.
2. CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT.P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695023.
3. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
OFFICE OF DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER, PEROORKADA
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695005.
4. THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER, PEROORKADA
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695005.

R1-4 BY ADV. SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
17-12-2015, ALONG WITH WPC. 35432/2015 AND CONNECTED CASES,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 35420 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1 TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM DATED 16/4/2013 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER
- P2 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.E2/4163/15/PKDA DATED 20.11.2015
- P3 A TRUE COPY OF PI 4/000502/2015 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 6.10.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) Nos.35420, 35432, 35433, 35434,
35435, 35436, 35448, 35449, 35463 &
35493 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P2 order of transfer and posting of Mechanics from Peroorkada unit to Venjaramoodu unit in Thiruvananthapuram District is impugned. The petitioners contend that there is no administrative exigency and that the order of transfer is against the existing norms. That is a matter to be agitated by the petitioners by appropriate representation to the second respondent Managing Director.

2. It is reported that the petitioners have already submitted detailed representations to the second respondent. The petitioners are free to submit representations to the second respondent if not already done. Such representations shall be dealt with by the second respondent within a period of two weeks after due notice to the petitioners.

3. The respondents submit that the order of transfer was effected for strength adjustment and for administrative requirements due to the Sabarimala season. Therefore the impugned order of transfers

shall be implemented and the petitioners are liable to be relieved from the present station (if not already done). The petitioners are at liberty to report for duty from Monday onwards. It is however made clear that such implementation would be subject to the final orders to be passed by the second respondent in the representations if any filed.

The writ Petitions are disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj/18.12.15