

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/2ND AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35387 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

**M.THRIVIKRAMAN NAMBOOTHIRI
S/O NARAYANAN NAMBOOTHIRI
MOOTHEDATHU MANA, THRIVALOOR, ALANGADU PO
ALUVA, (MELSANTHI, THIRUVALOOR DEVASWOM
THIRUVALOOR)**

BY ADV. SRI.S.SUBHASH CHAND

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD,
OFFICE OF THE TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
DEVASWOM BUILDINGS, NANDHANCOD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY**
- 2. THE DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM
BOARD, OFFICE OF THE DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER
DEVASWOM BUILDINGS, NANDHANCOD
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 3. ASSISTANT DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER, TRAVANCORE
DEVASWOM BOARD, OFFICER OF THE ASSISTANT DEVASWOM
COMMISSIONER, PARAVOOR GROUP**
- 4 P.N.SREEKUMAR, SANTHI, MARKANDESWARAM DEVASWOM
MANNAM PO, NORTH PARAVOOR**

R BY SRI.A.N.RAJAN BABU, SC, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 23-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

- | | |
|---------------|---|
| EXT.P1 | COPY OF THE CIRCULAR DTD. 14.2.05 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 |
| EXT.P2 | COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD. 25.2.15 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE RESPONDENT NO.3 |
| EXT.P3 | COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 14.7.15 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.2 |
| EXT.P4 | COPY OF THE ORDER DTD. 4.8.15 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 |
| EXT.P5 | COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 9.9.15 SUBMITTED TO RESPONDENT NO.2 |
| EXT.P6 | COPY OF THE APPEAL AGAINST EXT.P4 ORDER TO THE EXTENT THE SAME RELATES TO RESPONDENT NO.4. |

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: ***NIL***

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.35387 of 2015 - W

=====

Dated this the 23rd day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P6 appeal filed before the 1st respondent. The appeal is filed on 06.10.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the appeal filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of one month from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/23/11/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.