

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN**

**SATURDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/30TH KARTHIKA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 35266 of 2015 (G)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**SUPRABHA. K., AGED 39 YEARS  
W/O.SURESH, PADICKAL HOUSE, AIMURI  
KOOVAPADY P.O., KUNNATHUNADU TALUK.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.A.SHAJI (SR.)  
SMT.NAMITHA JYOTHISH  
SRI.V.VINCENT DIDACOSE  
SRI.DARSAN SOMANATH**

**RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

- 1. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER  
KOTHAMANGALAM, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-686 691.**
- 2. THE MANAGER,  
M.G.M.H.S.SCHOOL, KURUPPAMPADY, PERUMBAVOOR  
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-683 545.**
- 3. STATE OF KERALA,  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT  
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN-695 001.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
21-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**WP(C).No. 35266 of 2015 (G)**

## APPENDIX

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-**

**EXT.P1 - COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 30.09.2009 IN W.P.C. NO.23029/2009 OF THIS COURT.**

**EXT.P2 - COPY OF THE ORDER OF APPOINTMENT DATED 01.04.2015  
ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

**RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**P.A. TO JUDGE**

**sp**

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.**

-----  
**W.P(C). No.35266 of 2015-G**  
-----

**Dated this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of November, 2015.**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is said to have been appointed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in the aided School as Full Time Menial as per Ext.P2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the approval of said appointment is pending consideration before the first respondent.

In such circumstance, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider the same and pass appropriate orders on it, as expeditiously as possible and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the first respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-  
**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,**  
**JUDGE.**

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.