

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/2ND AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35235 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

**P.RAJESHKUMAR, AGED 35 YEARS
S/O.V.P.NAMPOOTHIRI, 'SANTHI'
KARIMBALOOR- PANANGAD SREE MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE
PANANGADU, KAVALA SUB GROUP, PUNALUR GROUP
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
RESIDING AT 'SREEMANGALATH MADOM', ASHTA MANGALAM
MANIYAR P.O., PUNALUR- 691 333..**

BY ADV. SRI.S.MUHAMMED HANEEFF

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NANTHACODE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 003.**
- 2. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, PUNALUR- 691 333.**
- 3. SUB GROPU OFFICER,
KAVALA DEVASWOM, KAVALA, PUNALUR- 691 333.**
- 4. B.ANEESH, SANTHI,
MATHIRAKADALLOOR, MADOM DEVASWOM TEMPLE
KUMIL SUB GROUP, PUNALUR- 691 333.**

R BY SRI.A.N.RAJAN BABU, SC, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 23-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT P1- A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 01/11/2015 ISSUED BY THE
3RD RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.**
- EXT P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 28/10/2015 IN C.NO.252/2015
OF THE HONOURABLE OMBUDSMAN.**
- EXT P3- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**
- EXT P4- A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.2585 DATED 16/11/2015 ISSUED
BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- EXT P5- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P6 COPY OF THE APPEAL SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE
THE 1ST RESPONDENT DTD. 20.11.15.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P. A TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.35235 of 2015 -D

=====

Dated this the 23rd day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P6 appeal filed before the 1st respondent. The appeal is filed on 20.11.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the appeal filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of one month from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/23/11/2015

// true copy//

P.A to Judge.