

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/13TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 35346 of 2014 (P)

PETITIONER :

**SAJITH V,
S/O.PRABHAKARAN,
CHAITHANYA HOUSE,
OLIYANGOTTU PARAMBU
PAPPINIPARA,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BABU S. NAIR
SRI.R.RANJITH**

RESPONDENT(S) :

**1. THE ANAKKAYAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, ANAKKAYAM
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 509.**

**2. THE SECRETARY
ANAKKAYAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH, ANAKKAYAM
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 509.**

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI.K.SHIBILI NAHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 04-03-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 35346 of 2014 (P)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

**EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT
 DATED 18.11.2014 REJECTING THE APPLICATION FOR BUILDING
 PERMIT SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 35346 of 2014

Dated this the 04th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

In the light of the ratio laid down in **Praveen v. Land Revenue Commissioner** [2010 (2) KLT 617], the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same lines, applying the ratio laid down by the learned Division Bench in the said decision, thereby quashing Exhibit P1.

Consequently, the second respondent is directed to consider the application of the petitioner for building permission afresh, if necessary, by referring the matter to the District Town Planner, and thereafter pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-