

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/6TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35093 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S):

**R.O.MANJU, AGED 39 YEARS
W/O.LATE G.PRINCE, UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL ASSISTANT
AMRITA HS, AMRITA HILLS, P.O.MOOLAVATTOM
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, JAGATHY
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 014.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
KOTTAYAM - 686 001.**
- 4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT - 686 001.**
- 5. THE MANAGER
AMRITA HIGH SCHOOL, AMRITA HILLS, P.O.MOOLAVATTOM
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT 686 012.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. VIJU THOMAS

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 27-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 35093 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 17.10.2015**

**EXT.P-2: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC NO.21282/2011 DATED
17.7.2013**

**EXT.P-3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 15.9.2015**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.35093 of 2015 - J

=====

Dated this the 27th day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P3 representation filed before the 1st respondent. The representation is filed on 15.09.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the representation filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/27/11/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.