

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/28TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 34995 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER:

**P.K.BALAKRISHNAN, AGED 73 YEARS
S/O. LATE P. KARUPPAN
MANAGER, AUP SCHOOL
MANNUR POST, PALAKKAD,
RESIDING AT SANTHI NIVAS, MANNUR P.O.
PALAKKAD - 678 642.**

BY ADV. SRI.U.BALAGANGADHARAN

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
PALAKKAD - 678 001.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
PARALI, PALAKKAD - 678 001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
19-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S' EXHIBITS:-

- | | | |
|------------|---|---|
| EXT. P1 | - | COPY OF APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 02.06.2014 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER. |
| EXT. P1(A) | - | COPY OF STAFF FIXATION PROCEEDINGS DATED 30.09.2010 ISSUED BY 4TH RESPONDENT. |
| EXT. P2 | - | COPY OF ORDER OF 4TH RESPONDENT DATED 03.06.2014. |
| EXT. P3 | - | COPY OF ORDER OF 4TH RESPONDENT DATED 29.01.2015. |
| EXT. P4 | - | COPY OF PROCEEDINGS OF 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 29.05.2015. |
| EXT. P5 | - | COPY OF REVISION PETITION DATED 17.06.2015 SUBMITTED BEFORE 2ND RESPONDENT. |

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.34995 of 2015

Dated this the 19th day of November, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P5 Revision Petition pending before the second respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the second respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P5 within a reasonable period.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the second respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P5 petition, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the second respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE.**

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.

sp/23/11/15