

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/22ND MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 35133 of 2014 (N)

PETITIONER:

**R.SAJEEV KUMAR, AGED 39 YEARS
VARYAMKALA VEEDU, VENDAR PO, KOTTARAKARA
KOLLAM DISTRICT, 691 507.**

BY ADV. SRI.SYAM J SAM

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 2. DIRECTOR, VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION
BUREAU, PMG, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001**
- 3. V.AJITHA, VENNIKULATHU VEEDU
PANTHAPLAVU PO, PATTAZHY, KOLLAM-691506**

R1 & R2 BY PUBLIC PROECUTOR SMT. P. MAYA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11-02-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1 COPY OF QUARRYING PERMIT FOR THE EXTRACTION OF GRANITE
BUILDING STONE ISSUED BY GEOLOGY DEPARTMENT**
- EXHIBIT P2 COPY OF THE INTEGRATED CONSENT TO OPERATE QUARRY ISSUED
BY THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**
- EXHIBIT P3 COPY OF THE LICENSE GRANTED FOR QUARRYING TO THE 5TH
RESPONDENT BY THE PANCHAYATH**
- EXHIBIT P4 COPY OF THE COMPLAINT MADE BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE
2ND RESPONDENT**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

// TRUE COPY // P.A. TO JUDGE

SD

P. UBAID, J.

W.P.(C)No.35133 of 2014

Dated this the 11th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner herein seeks a writ of mandamus directing action including registration of a crime, and investigation on a complaint. Ext.P4 complaint on which he seeks action is a very short complaint which does not contain required necessary details for police action under the Code of Criminal Procedure. This material aspect was observed by this Court on 08.01.2015. The petitioner has not furnished any further material for a direction to the police for action under the Code of Criminal Procedure. For registering FIR under Section 154 Cr.P.C., there must be a definite complaint of a cognizable offence with all the minimum required elements and ingredients. Here, there is no such complaint. Any way, now, the petitioner is absent in court. It appears that he knows the result of the proceeding.

In the result, this writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

P. UBAID, JUDGE

sd