

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/27TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 34865 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONERS:

1. **SARATH V.S.
S/O. V.K.SASI
MECHANIC GR. II
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
CHERTHALA DEPOT
ALAPPUZHA - 688 524**
2. **ARUN V.D.
S/O. V.K.DHARMADAS
MECHANIC GR. II
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
CHERTHALA DEPOT
ALAPPUZHA - 688 524**
3. **JENISH G.
S/O. GOPALAKRISHNAN
MECHANIC GR. II
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
CHERTHALA DEPOT
ALAPPUZHA - 688 524**

BY ADV. SRI.KALEESWARAM RAJ

RESPONDENTS:

1. **KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
REPRESENTED BY MANAGING DIRECTOR
KSRTC, TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001**
2. **THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KSRTC, TRANSPORT BHAVAN
FORT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001**
3. **THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER
DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICE
CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA - 688 524**

BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
18-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE MEMO DATED 6.10.2015

EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM DATED 11.11.2015

**EXHIBIT-P3- TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PART OF THE
AGREEMENT/SETTLEMENT 2012**

**EXHIBIT-P4- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 14.11.2015 SUBMITTED
BY THE 1ST PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT**

**EXHIBIT-P5- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 14.11.2015 SUBMITTED
BY THE 2ND PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT**

**EXHIBIT-P6- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 14.11.2015 SUBMITTED
BY THE 3RD PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 34865 OF 2015

Dated this the 18th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners who are working as Mechanic Grade II have been transferred from Cherthala unit to Chengannur unit of the Kerala State Road Transport Corporation. The petitioners have submitted Exts. P4 to P6 representations with the second respondent seeking to annul the order of transfer.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that their presence at home is absolutely essential and that a transfer to a far off place would cause hardship. The petitioners also add that there are seniors as well as juniors to them in service who manage to cling on to the same depot for several years.

3. I direct the second respondent to consider Exts. P5 and P6 representations with notice to the petitioners within a period of one month.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS