

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/26TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 34708 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER :

S.SOFIDA BEEVI AGED 34 YEARS
WIFE OF NOUSHAD, ARABIC TEACHER (PART-TIME), L.M.S.LOWER PRIMARY
SCHOOL, KUREEPPALLY, P.O.ALUMMOODU, KOLLAM DISTRICT - 691 577

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.VRAJASEKHARAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS :

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001
2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, JAGATHY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 014
3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, THEVALLY,
KOLLAM DISTRICT - 691 009
4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KOLLAM DISTRICT - 691 001
5. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, CHATHANNOOR ,
KOLLAM DISTRICT - 691 572
6. THE CORPORATE MANAGER, L.M.S.SCHOOLS, LMS COMPOUND,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 033

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.J.RAJASREE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
17-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DATED 01.06.2010

EXT.P2 : COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER DATED 10.02.2011

EXT.P3 : COPY OF THE VISIT REPORT DATED 02.08.2010

EXT.P4 : COPY OF THE HIGHER LEVEL VERIFICATION REPORT DATED 09.02.2011

EXT.P5 : COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER DATED 11.10.2012

EXT.P6 : COPY OF THE VISIT REPORT DATED 12.07.2011

EXT.P7 : COPY OF THE VISIT REPORT DATED 04.10.2011

EXT.P8 : COPY OF THE G.O.(RT.)NO.1411/2014/G.EDN. DATED 25.03.2014 OF THE GOVERNMENT

EXT.P9 : COPY OF THE G.O.(RT.)NO.2322/14/G.EDN. DATED 12.06.2014 OF THE GOVERNMENT

EXT.P10 : COPY OF THE G.O.(P).NO.213/15/G.EDN. DATED 06.08.2015 OF THE GOVERNMENT

EXT.P11 : COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 06.01.2015

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A TO JUDGE

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.34708 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P11 revision filed before the 1st respondent. The revision is filed on 06.11.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the Manager and the petitioner, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE