

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/26TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 34686 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER :

K.P.ASHFAK AHAMMED, AGED 23 YEARS
S/O. LATE K.P.MOHAMMED, RESIDING AT GRACE VILLA
MANJERI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENTS :

1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 014.
3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
DOWN HILL, MALAPPURAM - 676 519.
4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MANJERI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 676 122.
5. SRI.K.P.ABDUL SATHAR
KOLLAPARAMBIL, NARUKAVU, POST KARUMVAMBRAM
MALAPPURAM - 676 123.
6. SMT.K.PAYISHA
NOTTITHODI, MANJERI - 676 121, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.J.RAJASREE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
17-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 34686 of 2015 (I)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 18.2.2015.

P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE DEED DT. 20.2.1991.

P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES DT. 03.9.2001.

P4 - TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DT. 11.6.2009.

P5 - TRUE COPY OF THE GO(RT) NO. 1669/2013/G.EDN DT. 15.4.2013 OF THE
GOVERNMENT.

P6 - TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC NO. 14580/2013 - V DT. 30.6.2014.

P7 - TRUE COPY OF THE BYE-LAW OF THE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY DT. 24.10.2014.

P8 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DT. 09.6.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A TO JUDGE

AV

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.34686 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P8 appeal filed before the 2nd respondent. The appeal is filed on 09.06.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 2nd respondent definitely will have to look at the appeal filed before him and consider the same in accordance with law, after notice to all affected parties and affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 2nd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE