

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

WEDNESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/17TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 34723 of 2014 (M)

PETITIONER(S):

1. FIDA YOOSUF C.H, AGED 18 YEARS
D/O. C.H. ABU YOUSUF GURUKKAL,
CHANGAMPALLY HOUSE, VALANCHERY.P.O.
MALAPPAURAM DISTRICT.
2. MINOR SONA,
D/O. VALSAN, CHIRAYATH MANJIYIL HOUSE, MANNUTHY
THRISSUR DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY HER NEXT FRIEND AND FATHER VALSAN
S/O. THOMAS, AGED 44 YEARS, CHIRAYATH MANJIYIL HOUSE
MANNUTHY, THRISSUR DISTRICT. 17.

**BY ADVS.SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN (SR.)
SMT.P.R.REENA**

RESPONDENT(S):

1. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.
2. THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
CONVENER,
KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15 ORGANIZED AT KOZHIKODE
OFFICE OF THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 07-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1. TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO THE 1ST PETITIONER IN THE KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2013- 14.**
- P2. TRUE COPY OF THE NEWS PAPER REPORT PUBLISHED IN MALAYALA MANORAMA DAILY ON 7-12-14.**
- P3. TRUE COPY OF THE NEWSPAPER REPORT PUBLISHED IN MALAYALA MANORAMA DAILY ON 5-12-14.**
- P4. TRUE COPY OF THE ANOTHER NEWSPAPER REPORT PUBLISHED IN MALAYALA MANORAMA DAILY DATED 6-12-14.**
- P5. TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 4-12-2014 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.**
- P6. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 8-12-14 OF THE APPEAL COMMITTEE.**
- P7. TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 17-12-14 BEFORE THE RESPONDENTS.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.34723 OF 2014
.....

Dated this the 7th January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners were members of the team for 'English skit', who participated in the Revenue District Youth Festival held at Mala on 04.12.2014. The petitioner's team came to be sidelined, when the result was published, as a result of which they are not in a position to participate in the State Level Competition. Eventhough they filed an appeal, the same came to be rejected , which made the petitioners to approach this Court. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the team which won the first prize in the competition performed the event without any regard to the guidelines issued in respect of English Skit and that they have used Malayalam sentence in the skit.

2. The learned Government Pleader, on instruction submits that there is no pith or substance in the contentions raised. There is a difference of '**31**' marks between the marks obtained by the petitioners' team (i.e. **216**) and the first price winner's team (i.e. **247**). It is also submitted that the Appeal Committee had also viewed the video clipping of the event.

3. After going through the pleadings and proceedings, and

also the contents of Ext.P6 order of the appeal Committee , this Court finds that the petitioners have not established any tenable ground to call for interference. Accordingly, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

Ik