

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/3RD AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 34408 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER(S) :

**JUSTIN JAMES MATHEW, AGED 25 YEARS,
S/O.ANTONY MATHEW, VALAMPARAMBIL HOUSE,
MUTTAR P.O., ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN- 689 574.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.N.RADHAKRISHNAN(THIRUVALLA)

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS, M.G.UNIVERSITY P.O.,
KOTTAYAM, PIN- 686 001,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.**
- 2. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS, M.G.UNIVERSITY P.O.,
KOTTAYAM, PIN- 686 001.**

BY ADV. SRI.VARUGHESE M.EASO, S.C, M.G.UNIVERSITY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 24-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 34408 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

**EXHIBIT P1: PHOTOCOPY OF THE HALL TICKET ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER
WITH REGISTER NO.721505.**

**EXHIBIT P2: PHOTOCOPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REVALUATION
DATED 29.10.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**

**EXHIBIT P3: PHOTOCOPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 29.10.2015 ISSUED
ACKNOWLEDGING THE REMITTANCE OF REQUISITE FEE FOR
REVALUATION.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

K. HARILAL, J.

W.P. (C) No.34408 of 2015

Dated this the 24th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is an Engineering student, who is undergoing the final year B.Tech. Course at St. Joseph's College of Engineering & Technology, Pala, which is affiliated to the 1st respondent University. The petitioner appeared for IV Semester examination held in April, 2015 with Register No.721505. According to the petitioner, even though the petitioner did well in the examination, he failed in the examination for one paper, namely, Electronic Circuit II for one mark. Consequently, the petitioner filed Ext.P2 application for revaluation; but the same has not been considered so far. According to the petitioner, being a final year student, he may be put to irreparable injury and

hardship. If the result is delayed, he may not be able to prepare and undergo the supplementary examination for the said subject, which will result in losing his one academic year for completing his course. Hence the petitioner filed this writ petition seeking a direction to the University to conduct revaluation within a specified time.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the 1st respondent University.

3. The learned standing counsel, on instructions, submits that as per the University Regulations, the University is liable to conduct revaluation and publish the result within 60 days from the last date of filing the application for revaluation. Hence the University is liable to publish the result of the revaluation on or before 1/1/2016. It is also submitted that revaluation is being done by a centralised valuation process and it is not possible to value the petitioner's paper alone.

4. Having regard to the submissions made at the

Bar, I find force in the arguments made by the learned standing counsel for the 1st respondent University. As rightly submitted by the learned standing counsel, no direction can be given to the University to revalue the petitioner's paper alone at the earliest. However, the 1st respondent University is directed to conduct revaluation at the earliest and publish result accordingly, without putting the students into hardship and difficulty.

This writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(K. HARILAL, JUDGE)

Nan/

//true copy//

P.S. to Judge