

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/16TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

W.P.(C).No.34100 of 2015 (J)

-----

PETITIONER(S):-

-----

PANEER SELVAM, AGED 49 YEARS,  
ASSISTANT ENGINEER (UNDER SUSPENSION),  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTRICAL DIVISION,  
PEERMEDU, IDUKKI DISTRICT 685 531,  
RESIDING AT D2 QUARTERS, 66 KV SUBSTATION COLONY,  
PEERMEDU, IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.PAREETH.

RESPONDENT(S):-

-----

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VIDYUTHI BHAVANAM,  
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 004.
2. CHIEF ENGINEER (HRM),  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, VIDYUTHI BHAVANAM,  
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 004.
3. DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER,  
ELECTRICITY CIRCLE, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,  
THODUPUZZHA, IDUKKI DISTRICT 685 584.
4. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTRICITY DIVISION,  
PEERMEDU, IDUKKI DISTRICT 685 531.

R1 TO R4 BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.K.S.ANIL.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON  
07-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No.34100 of 2015 (J)  
-----

**APPENDIX**

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:-  
-----

- EXHIBIT P1    A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER  
                 NO GB1/DIS ACT /15-16/S 3/15 DATED 3-6-2015 ISSUED BY  
                 THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P2    A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 22-07-2015  
                 IN W.P(C) NO 17311/15.
- EXHIBIT P3    A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS  
                 NO GBI/DIS ACT 15-16/S-3/115 DATED 20-10-15.
- EXHIBIT P4    A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 5.11.15  
                 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE  
                 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-  
-----

NIL.

Vku/-

[ true copy ]

K. Vinod Chandran, J

-----  
W.P.(C).No.34100 of 2015-J  
-----

Dated this the 07<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2015.

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner has challenged the suspension and conclusion of disciplinary proceedings, by which a punishment was imposed.

2. The learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-Board submits that the suspension has been revoked on conclusion of the enquiry proceedings vide order No.EBVS.1/25/2015/753 dated 30.11.2015.

3. With respect to the punishment imposed, the petitioner has filed an appeal, at Exhibit P4. In such circumstance, what remains in the writ petition is only a direction to consider the appeal as such.

4. In the above circumstances, there shall be a direction to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider and dispose of the appeal, Exhibit P4, on merits and in accordance with law,

within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on the merits of the matter.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-  
K.Vinod Chandran  
Judge.

vku/-

[ true copy ]