

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/20TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 34051 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER:

CHIRANGARA BHAGAVATHI KSHETRA UPADESHAKASAMIDI,
KORATTY EAST.P.O-680308
THRISSUR DISTRICT.REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY
MR.P.K.BABU, AGED 60 YEARS.

BY ADV. SMT.SHERLY THOMAS

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:

1. COCHIN DEVASOM BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, THRISSUR-680001.
2. SPECIAL DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER,
COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD, THRISSUR-680001.
3. THE JUNIOR DEVASWOM BOARD,
DEVASWOM BOARD, (CHIRANGARA BHAGAVATHI KSHETRA)
THRISSUR-680001.

BY SRI.KRISHNA MENON, SC, COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 34051 of 2015 (F)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1 A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT MADE BY PETITIONER TO 1ST
RESPONDENT DTD 30.07.2015
- P2 A TRUE COPY OF THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2
DTD.29.10.2015
- P3 A TRUE COPY OF A DETAILED REPLY ISSUED BY PETITIONER TO THE SAID
SHOW CAUSE NOTICE ON 04.08.2015
- P4 A TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING DATED 03.09.2015
- P5 A TRUE COPY OF THE IMPUGNED ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO.1.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN &
ANU SIVARAMAN, JJ.

=====

W.P.(C).No.34051 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 11th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan, J.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the Cochin Devaswom Board.
2. After a pre-decisional notice, Ext.P5 has been issued freezing the activities of the Chirangara Bhagavathi Kshethra Upadeshakasamithi. Ext.P5 contains a clear statement that the President and four members had resigned. This writ petition is projected as if it is through the Secretary of that Samithi. Further allegations in Ext.P5 are to the effect that persons in office are actually hindrance to the activities of the temple. The decision of the Devaswom Board through Ext.P5 discloses reasons. The decision of such a nature which is intended for the better administration and appropriate

management of the temple does not warrant interference in such a situation. The writ petition, therefore, fails.

In the result, this writ petition is dismissed.

sd/-
Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan, Judge

sd/-
Anu Sivaraman, Judge

sj

TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE