

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/18TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 33898 of 2015 (J)  
-----

PETITIONER(S):  
-----

N.RAMESAN, AGED 28 YEARS  
S/O. NANU, RAJESH BHAVAN, PANNIVIZHA  
ADOOR, REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER  
RAJESH R, RAJESH BHAVAN, PANNIVIZHA  
ADOOR

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT(S):  
-----

THE SECRETARY  
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, PATHANAMTHITTA 689 645

R BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA.K.A.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
09-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 33898 of 2015 (J)

-----  
APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS  
-----

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE TEMPORARY PERMIT DATED ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PERMIT DATED  
26-09-2015

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 9-10-2015 IN WPC 30796/2015

EXT.P4 COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 29-10-2015

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.  
-----

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

-----  
W.P (C) No.33898 of 2015  
-----

Dated this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

True it is that the respondent has no jurisdiction to grant temporary permit for four months in respect of inter-district route without prior concurrence of the sister RTA. But there is no inhibition in law to grant temporary permit on an inter-district route for a period of 20 days.

2. I therefore quash Ext.P4 order and direct the respondent to reconsider the application of the petitioner limiting the period as one for 20 days. The orders in this regard shall be passed within a period of one week from today.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-  
V.CHITAMBARESH,  
Judge.

nj/9.11.15