

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

THURSDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF MARCH 2014/29TH PHALGUNA, 1935

WP(C).No. 33966 of 2014 (U)

PETITIONER:

SAJEERUDHEEN,
S/O. ABOOBACKER, MARUTHINAKAM,
ROADARIKATHU VEEDU,
CHEKKAKONAM P.O, KARAKULAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY ADV. SRI.SHAJIN S.HAMEED

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DIRECTOR, VIGILANCE AND ANTI-CORRUPTION BUREAU,
PMG JUNCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695033
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU, SPECIAL CELL,
ADARSH NAGAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695004
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM UNIT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695033

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.S.HYMA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-03-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 33966 of 2014 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: PHOTOCOPY OF THE COMPLAINT SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT

EXHIBIT P2: PHOTOCOPY OF THE REPLY DATED 29-08-2014 ISSUED BY THE
STATE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

EXHIBIT P3: PHOTOCOPY OF THE REPLY DATED 01-09-2014 OF THE STATE
PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER, VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU
EXHIBIT P4 PHOTOCOPY OF THE REPLY DATED 30-10-2014 ISSUED BY THE
STATE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION
BUREAU UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

ab

P.UBAID, J.

W.P(C) No.33966 of 2014

Dated this the 20th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The writ petitioner seeks a writ of mandamus directing the police to initiate legal action including investigation on the Ext.P1 complaint made by him. Now there is a report by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance & Anti-Corruption Bureau, Special Cell, Thiruvananthapuram that the vigilance has already registered a crime on the petitioner's complaint and that investigation is in progress. The report also shows that there is substance in the allegation made by the petitioner, and that effective and fruitful investigation will be made in the crime. Now, I think that the writ petitioner is satisfied. Accordingly, this Writ Petition can be closed with direction to the VACB to make a proper and effective investigation and submit report without any delay.

In the result, this Writ Petition is closed, however with direction to the VACB that effective investigation shall be conducted in the crime and final report shall be submitted without any delay.

**P.UBAID
JUDGE**

ab