

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

SATURDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/30TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 33639 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER :

- 1. K.KUNHILAKSHMI AMMA, AGED 62 YEARS,
W/O. LATE A NARAYANAN MEMON**
- 2. HARIDASAN, AGED 60 YEARS,
S/O. LATE A NARAYANA MENON**
- 3. SARASWATHY, AGED 60 YEARS,
D/O. KUNHILAKSHMI AMMA**
- 4. RADHAKRISHNAN @ RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR,
S/O. LATE A NARAYANA MENON**
- 5. RADHA, AGED 57 YEARS,
D/O. K KUNHILAKSHMI AMMA,
ALL ARE R/A.KANNAMPARAMBIL HOUSE,
KARIMPUZHA POST, OTTAPALAM TALUK**

BY ADV. SRI.R.SREEHARI

RESPONDER :

**TALUK LAND BOARD, OTTAPALAM,
OFFICE OF THE TALUK LAND BOARD,
OTTAPALAM, REP BY ITS CHAIRMAN 679101**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K. SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 21-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1:- PHOTO COPY OF THE CLAIM PETITION FILED IN CC 846/1973 BY THE 2ND PETITIONER.
- P2:- PHOTO COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE NO 229/77 DTD 20/1/1977 ISSUED IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONERS.
- P3:- PHOTO COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE NO 950/1977 DTD 28/2/1977 ISSUED IN FAVOUR OF THE 2ND PETITIONER.
- P4:- PHOTO COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE NO 23/77 DTD 14/1/1977 ISSUED IN FAVOUR OF THE 3RD PETITIONER.
- P5:- PHOTO COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE NO 948/77 DTD 28/2/1977 ISSUED IN FAVOUR OF THE 4TH PETITIONER.
- P6:- PHOTO COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE NO 2061/77 DTD 27/4/1977 ISSUED IN FAVOUR OF RAMADASAN WITH RESPECT TO THE 5TH PETITIONER.
- P7:- PHOTO COPY OF THE ORDER DTD 2/12/2010 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT.
- P8:- PHOTO COPY OF THE NOTICE DTD 30/9/2015 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J

W.P.(C).No. 33639 of 2015

Dated this the 21th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners claimed that they filed a claim petition in C.C No.846/1973, a ceiling proceedings relating to one Gopalan Nair before the respondent. The petitioners submit that similarly situated person's claim petition has been considered as per Ext.P7 and Taluk Land Board ordered to exclude the land held by those persons.

2. In the light of the facts and circumstances, there shall be a direction to the respondent to consider the claim petition filed by the petitioners, after adverting to Ext.P7 Order passed by other claimants. Needful shall be done within a period of six months. Till a decision is taken, status quo shall be maintained.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

**A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE
JUDGE**