

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

FRIDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/22ND KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 33607 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER:

**K.P.JABIR
S/O.ABDULLA,
K.P.HOUSE, P.O.KARIMBAM
TALIPARAMBA,
KANNUR DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KASARAGOD - 671 121.**

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA K.A.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 33607 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER FOR
REPLACEMENT**

EXT.P-2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD. 12.10.15 FOR REPLACEMENT

**EXT.P-3: THE COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC NO.4711 OF 2014 DATED
18.2.2014**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 33607 OF 2015

Dated this the 13th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner confines his relief to an early consideration of Ext. P2 application for replacement of the vehicle. The petitioner wants the vehicle to be replaced by a leased vehicle which is a permissible exercise.

2. I direct the respondent to consider Ext. P2 application with notice to the petitioner within a period of three weeks. There is no necessity to endorse the lease agreement in the Registration Certificate as held in Ext. P3 judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS