

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/13TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 33576 of 2015 (V)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.P.LEELAVATHI, D/O KRISHNAN NAMBIAR, U.P.SA
PINARAYI GANAPATHI VILASOM BASIC UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL
P,O PINARAYI, KANNUR DIST, RESIDING AT SREEVALSAM
P.O KOLAYAD, KANNUR DISTRICT**

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.PAREETH

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY SECRETARY TO GOVT.
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPT., GOVT. SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, JAGATHY
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 014**
- 3. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, THALASSERY
THALASSERY P,O, KANNUR DIST. - 670 101**
- 4. ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, THALASSERY NORTH
MINI CIVIL STATION, THALASSERY P,O,
KANNUR DIST. 670 101**
- 5. MANAGER PINARAYI GANAPATHI VILASOM BASIC
UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL
P,O PINARAYI, KANNUR DIST. 670 741.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 04-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 33576 of 2015 (V)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD. 8.12.14**
- EXT.2 COPY OF THE ORDER NO. F 4946/14/ DTD. 11.2.15 ISSUED BY THE
4TH RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE ORDER DTD. 19.6.15 ISSUED BY THE 3RD
RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION DTD. 3.8.15 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.33576 of 2015 - V

=====

Dated this the 4th day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P4 revision filed before the 1st respondent. The revision is filed on 03.08.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/04/11/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge