

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR**

**TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/4TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 33557 of 2015 (T)**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S):**  
-----

**T.N.UNNIKRISHNAN,  
S/O. NARAYANAN, KARTHIKA, THEKKUMURI,  
SANKARAMANGALAM PO, PATTAMBI.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SAIBY JOSE KIDANGOOR  
SRI.BENNY ANTONY PAREL  
SRI.R.LAIJU  
SMT.S.SIBHA  
SRI.MATHEWS RAJU  
SRI.P.M.MOHAMMED SALIH**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

**THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER,  
THE STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE,  
MAIN ROAD, PO BOX NO. I,  
PATTAMBI PO, PALAKKAD-679 303.**

**BY ADVS. SRI.T.SETHUMADHAVAN (SR.)  
SRI.K.JAYESH MOHANKUMAR  
SRI. JAYESH MOHAN KUMAR, SC,**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 24-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**PJ**

**WP(C).No. 33557 of 2015 (T)**  
-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS**  
-----

**EXT.P1: A TRUE COPY OF RECEIPT DT. 27/3/13**

**EXT.P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DT. 30/3/13**

**EXT.P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DT. 30/12/13**

**EXT.P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DT. 4/3/15**

**EXT.P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DT. 16/3/15**

**EXT.P6: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY NOTICE DT. 2/4/15**

**EXT.P7: A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DT. 23/5/15**

**EXT.P8: A TRUE COPY OF THE LAWYERS NOTICE DT. 1/6/15**

**EXT.P9: A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 18565/15 DT. 29/6/15**

**EXT.P10: A TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION NOTICE DT. 29/10/15**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS**  
-----

**NIL.**

**/ TRUE COPY /**

**P.S. TO JUDGE**

**PJ**

**A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.**

.....  
W.P.(C).No.33557 of 2015  
.....

Dated this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioner who had availed of a loan from the respondent bank and defaulted in repayment of the same is aggrieved by the steps taken by the respondent bank for recovery of the defaulted loan amounts. When the matter came up for admission, it was noticed that the petitioner had earlier approached this Court when faced with similar recovery proceedings, and this Court by Ext.P9 judgment had directed the petitioner to make certain payments in six monthly instalments starting from 30.07.2015. On a query as to whether the payments as directed in Ext.P9 judgment had been made, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the payments as directed in Ext.P9 judgment could not be effected, but the petitioner was taking steps to move the appropriate court for getting an extension of the time in Ext.P9 judgment. When the matter was taken up for orders today, it is submitted that the petitioner has since approached the Court that passed Ext.P9 judgment and obtained an extension of time to comply with the direction in the said judgment. Taking note of the said submission of counsel for the petitioner, I find that prayers in this writ petition need not be granted. The writ petition is accordingly closed.

**A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR**  
**JUDGE**