

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/13TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 33487 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

**P.MOHANAN PILLAI, FACTORY WORKER,
SFCK-LC FACTORY QUARTERS, CHITHALVETTY,
POONKULANJI P.O., KOLLAM DISTRICT-689 695.**

BY ADV. SRI.N.SASIDHARAN UNNITHAN

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. MANAGING DIRECTOR,
STATE FARMING CORPORATION OF KERALA LTD.,
FARM HOUSE, P.B.NO.13, VETTITHITTA P.O.,
PUNALUR, KOLLAM DISTRICT-689 696.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT,
TRANS HOUSE, VAZHUTHAKAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 014.**
- 3. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 4. THE INSURANCE OMBUDSMAN,
PULINATT BUILDINGS, OPP.COCHIN SHIPYARD,
M.G.ROAD, ERNAKULAM-683 014.**

**R1 BY ADV. SRI.AJITH KRISHNAN, SC
R2 TO R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K.SHERIN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 04-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF THE TREATMENT CERTIFICATE ISSUED FROM THE REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF OPHTHALMOLOGY.
- EXT.P2. TRUE COPY OF THE DISABILITY CERTIFICATE DATED 8/5/2013 OF THE MEDICAL BORAD.
- EXT.P3. TRUE COPY OF THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY DR.CINY.
- EXT.P4. TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF G.O.(P) NO.616/2010/FIN DATED 23/11/2010.
- EXT.P5. TRUE COPY OF THE CLAIM FORM SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.
- EXT.P6. TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 22/3/2013 OF THE 1ST RESPNODENT.
- EXT.P7. TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 30/7/2013 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P8. TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 19/9/2015 OF THE PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P(C).No. 33487 of 2015

Dated this the 4th November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, based on Exhibit P4 Group Personal Accident Insurance Scheme to the State Government employees and other employees, raised claim under the Scheme, which has been rejected as per Exhibit P7.

2. Aggrieved by the rejection of the claim, the petitioner has now approached the third respondent by Exhibit P8 as provided under the Scheme.

In the light of the facts and circumstances above, there shall be a direction to the third respondent to consider Exhibit P8 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after hearing the petitioner as well as the second respondent insurer.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

**A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE
JUDGE**

vgs4/11/15