

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/11TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 33151 of 2015 (T)  
-----

PETITIONER(S) :  
-----

P.M.CHANDRASENAN AGED 56 YEARS  
S/O. MADHAVAN, MALIECKAL HOUSE, KACHAPPILLY ROAD  
VYTTILA P.O., COCHIN - 682 019, (VEHICLE SUPERVISOR  
KSRTC, ERNAKULAM)

BY ADV. SRI.K.P.JUSTINE (KARIPAT)

RESPONDENT(S) :  
-----

1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION  
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 023.
2. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (ADMINISTRATION),  
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION  
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 023.
3. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,  
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, ERNAKULAM.  
682018

RS BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
02-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P(C)NO.33151/2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

P1-TRUE COPY OF THE DUTY PASS ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.  
P2-TRUE COPY OF THE PROMOTION ORDER NO.P1-205/2013/PMNA DATED 11.2.2013.  
P3-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G.O(P)968/87/FIN.DATED 17.11.1987  
P4-TRUE COPY OF THE CIRCULAR NO.PRC-001323/07 DATED 4.4.2009  
P5-TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM NO.PL10-000364/09 DATED 14/9.2009  
P6-TRUE COPY OF THE COMPARATIVE PAY SLIPS FOR AUGUST, 2015  
P7-TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN 2002(1) KLT 157 KAMALADEVI VS. KSFE  
P8-TRUE COPY OF THE PAGE NO.16 (CLAUSE XLII) OF 2012 PAY REVISION  
AGREEMENT  
P9-TRUE COPY OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE DATED 15.6.2015 UNDER THE RTI ACT.  
P10-TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY UNDER RTI ACT VIDE NO.T1-2843/15/EKM DATED  
22.7.2015  
P11-TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 9.10.2014  
P12-TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 17.9.2015.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS

NIL

TRUE COPY

SKS

P.A TO JUDGE

**V.CHITAMBARESH, J.**

-----  
**W.P(C)NO. 33151 OF 2015**  
-----

**Dated this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2015**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner seeks the benefit of notional fixation in the intermediate grade-selection grade and special grade. The petitioner relies on Ext.P3 Government Order and Ext.P4 circular. Heavy reliance is also placed on Ext.P7 judgment reported in **2002 (1) KLT 157 (Kamaladevi vs. KSFE).**

2. The petitioner has in this regard submitted Exts.P11 and P12 representations. The same are pending consideration with the first respondent.

3. I direct the first respondent to consider Exts.P11 and P12 representations within two months. The same shall be done with notice to the petitioner and with due advertence to Exts.P3 order, P4 circular and P7 judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**V.CHITAMBARESH, JUDGE**

sks

