

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/5TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 32542 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER:

**E.Y.MOHAMMED RAFI, AGED 42 YEARS
S/O. YUSUF, PART-TIME LANGUAGE TEACHER (URDU), S.N.V.U.P. SCHOOL
THALIKULAM, VALAPPAD EDUCATIONAL SUB DISTRICT,
THRISSUR DISTRICT 680 569.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 014.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION THRISSUR
AT AYYANTHOLE - 680 003.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
VALAPPAD, THRISSUR DISTRICT 680 567**
- 5. THE MANAGER, S.N.V.U.P. SCHOOL, THALIKULAM
VALAPPAD EDUCATIONAL SUB DISTRICT THRISSUR DISTRICT 680 569.**

R1 TO R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
27-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 03.06.2013**
- P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 03.06.2013 ALONG WITH
STATEMENT OF CHANGE OF STAFF**
- P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.B5/5297/15 OF THE DEPUTY
DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION DATED 24.08.2015**
- P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G/925/2015 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT
DATED 10.07.2015**
- P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SMT.RAJESWARI T.R.
DATED 3.6.2013 AND APPROVAL THEREOF**
- P6 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SRI.ABDUL SAMAD
P.M. DATED 3.6.2013 AND APPROVAL THEREOF**
- P7 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SRI.VINOJ JOSE V
DATED 3.6.2013AND APPROVAL OF THEREOF**
- P8 : TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE
GOVERNMENT DATED 03.09.2015 WITHOUT EXHIBITS**

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 32542 of 2015 (P)

Dated this the 27th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's grievance is that Ext.P8 revision dated 03.09.2015 has not been considered till date by the 2nd respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider the revision, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of without any observation on merits, which shall be decided by the 2nd respondent, in accordance with law.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE