

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.K.ABDUL REHIM

THURSDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/18TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 32753 of 2014 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

A.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, AGED 54 YEARS
S/O.LATE RAMAN PILLAI, KAZHAKAM
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
RESIDING AT AERATHUKULATHU HOUSE, KAVIYOOR P.O.
TIRUVALLA - 689 582.

BY ADVS.SRI.SAJITH KUMAR V.
SMITHA C.R
SRI.P.K.ANTONY

RESPONDENT(S):

1. TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NANDANCODE,
TRIVANDRUM - 695005.
2. THE COMMISSIONER,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, NANDANCODE,
TRIVANDRUM - 695 005.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD,
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OFFICE, MATHILBHAGOM,
THIRUVALLA - 689 101.
4. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD,
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OFFICE,
MAVELIKKARA - 690 101.

BY ADV. SRI.A.N.RAJAN BABU, SC, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE CHARGE SHEET DATED 26/05/2014
FORWARDED BY LETTER DATED 28/06/2014 ISSUED BY THE 2ND
RESPONDENT WITH TRANSLATION**
- EXHIBIT P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE UNDATED ORDER ISSUED BY THE ASSISTANT
COMMISSIONER, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, MAVELIKKARA
WITH TRANSLATION.**
- EXHIBIT P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 04/10/2011,
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER WITH TRANSLATION.**
- EXHIBIT P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION UNDER RTI ACT DATED 04/11/2013
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER WITH TRANSLATION.**
- EXHIBIT P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 21/11/2013, ISSUED BY THE
ASSISTANT DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER WITH TRANSLATION.**
- EXHIBIT P6: A TRUE COPY OF THE EXPLANATION DATED 11/07/2014 SUBMITTED
BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

C.K. ABDUL REHIM, J.

W.P.(c) No. 32753 OF 2014-T

DATED THIS THE 8th DAY OF JANUARY, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

An application submitted by the petitioner seeking voluntary retirement was rejected, allegedly after a period of 3 years, on issuing a charge sheet alleging unauthorised absence. In the wake of rejection of application for VRS, the petitioner expressed willingness to rejoin duty. In this regard the petitioner had submitted Ext.P6 representation before the 2nd respondent. When the request was not considered, this writ petition is filed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P6 and to pass appropriate orders.

3. Heard; Standing counsel appearing for the respondents. This court is of the opinion that a direction to consider Ext.P6 would suffice to meet the ends of justice.

4. Hence this writ petition is disposed of by directing the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P6 and to take an appropriate decision, if the same is not already disposed of, if necessary after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, at the earliest possible, at any rate within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-
C.K. ABDUL REHIM
JUDGE

AMG

True copy

P.A. to Judge