

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/4TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 32353 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER(S) :-

SHYAM PRASAD, S/O.VALSAN, VATTAPPARAMBIL HOUSE,
VALLIVATTOM P.O., PIN - 680 123,
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.V.JOHN SEBASTIAN RALPH
SRI.K.J.JOSEPH (ERNAKULAM)

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF
O/O. DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF, THRISSUR DISTRICT
AYYANTHOL - 680 003.
2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
O/O. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, IRINGALAKKUDA
IRINGALAKKUDA NORTH, PIN - 680 125.
3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
IRINGALAKKUDA POLICE STATION, IRINGALAKKUDA NORTH
PIN - 680 125.
4. LISSY SOJAN @ LEENA
W/O.SOJAN, MADATHIVILAKAM HOUSE, HOUSE NO.2/338
THEKKUMKARA, KARUMATHRA, THALAPPILLY TALUK
TRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN - 680 589.

R1 TO R3 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 26-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:

jvt

WP(C) .No. 32353 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXHIBIT P1. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 13.7.15.

EXHIBIT P1(A) . COPY OF THE ENGLISH VERSION OF EXHIBIT P1.

EXHIBIT P2. COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD.

EXHIBIT P3. COPY OF THE ENGLISH VERSION OF THE COMPLAINT DATED
26.9.15 SENT TO RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3.

EXHIBIT P4. COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C) No.32353 of 2015

Dated this the 26th day of October 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking for police protection. It is stated that the petitioner had purchased 20 cents of property in Survey No.79/2 of Karumathra Village from the 4th respondent and her mother. The petitioner claims to be in possession of the said property. It is alleged that the 4th respondent and her men have trespassed into the house of the petitioner, demanding that the petitioner should convey the property back to the 4th respondent. The matter was informed to the police. Since no action has been taken in the matter, this writ petition has been filed.

2. Learned Government Pleader on instructions would submit that based on the complaint given by the petitioner, the matter was enquired into by the police and the 4th respondent was summoned. The 4th respondent submitted that the property was sold to the petitioner subject to the condition that it will be re-conveyed after settlement of the liabilities. After having

-: 2 :-

conducted the enquiry, the 4th respondent was directed to approach the civil court for appropriate reliefs. Thereafter, no untoward incident has been reported.

3. Having regard to the fact that the police had taken appropriate action and directed the 4th respondent to approach the civil court, we do not think that any further direction is required to be passed in the writ petition. We only observe that if there is any offence committed or attempt to commit offence, on the complaint of the petitioner, the police shall look into the matter and do the needful.

With the above observation, this writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE