

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/29TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 32252 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONERS:

- 1. THE MANAGER, AGED 65 YEARS
K R P M HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, SEETHATHODE
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT**
- 2. P. T SANTI
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (SANSKRIT)
K R P M HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, SEETHATHODE
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
PATHANAMTHITTA AT THIRUVALLA - 689 101.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT - 689 001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS:-

- EXT. P1 - COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SMT
 G. VASANTHAKUMARY AMMA DATED 01/04/2013 AND
 APPROVAL THEREON.
- EXT. P2 - COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
 DATED 02/06/2014.
- EXT. P3 - COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL
 OFFICER DATED 24/03/2015.
- EXT. P4 - COPY OF THE APPAAL PETITION FILED BEFORE THE 2ND
 RESPONDENT BY THE MANAGER DATED 09/04/2015.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.32252 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of October, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P4 appeal dated 09.04.2015 filed before the 2nd respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the 2nd respondent to pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 within a reasonable time.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 appeal as expeditiously as possible and after hearing the petitioner, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the 2nd respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.

sp-21/10/15