

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/1ST PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 32446 of 2014 (E)

PETITIONER(S):

**OMANA SREEDHARAN,
W/O. SREEDHARAN, MUTHUKUNNI, KOOTTUPURA,
AYILUR P.O., PALAKKAD - 678 703**

**BY ADVS.SRI.G.HARIHARAN
SRI.PRAVEEN.H.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE SECRETARY,
MELARKODE GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
MELARKODE, ALATHUR TALUK,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN - 678 541.**
- 2. THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
ALATHUR BLOCK, ALATHUR, PALAKKAD - 678 541.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
PALAKKAD - 678 001.**

**R1 BY ADV. SRI.V.A.JOHNSON (VARIKKAPPALLIL)
R2 & R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JUSTIN JACOB**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
20-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 32446 of 2014 (E)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT-P1-TRUE COPY OF THE BPL RATION CARD BEARING NO. 1950067413
ISSUED BY THE CIVIL SUPPLIES OFFICER, ALATHUR IN THE NAME OF
THE PETITIONER.**

**EXHIBIT-P2-TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 13/10/2014 PASSED BY THE
HONOURABLE OMBUDSMAN FOR LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
INSTITUTION IN COMPLAINT NO.423/2014.**

**EXHIBIT-P3-TRUE COPY OF THE LIST OF BENEFICIARIES INCLUDING IN SERIAL
NO. 182 TO 196 ALONG WITH A COMMUNICATION DATED 10/02/2014
ISSUED BY THE IST RESPONDENT UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION
ACT.**

**EXHIBIT-P4-TRUE COPY OF THE PAPER REPORT PUBLISHED IN THE HINDU DAILY
DATED 29/11/2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv**/**

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 32446 of 2014

Dated this the 20th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel, as well as the learned Government Pleader for the respondents, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court ventilating her grievance that, despite her eligibility, she was not included as a beneficiary under EMS Housing Scheme announced by the Government of Kerala for the benefit of the poor people.

3. The learned Standing Counsel for the first respondent has submitted that into the criteria fixed for the EMS Housing Scheme, the petitioner could not fit herself,

and that now under the Indira Gandhi Awas Yojana Housing Scheme, the respondent Grama Panchayat is willing to consider the petitioner's claim.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner, on her part, has submitted that the petitioner may not have any objection, if her claim is to be considered under the new scheme, as has been submitted by the learned Standing Counsel for the first respondent.

In the facts and circumstances, recording the submission of the learned Standing Counsel for the first respondent Grama Panchayat, this Court disposes of the writ petition. No order as to costs.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-