

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/29TH ASWINA, 1937

W.P.(C).No. 32225 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER:

**ABDUL SALAM VAKKAYIL,
S/O. ABDULLA HAJI, VAKKAYIL HOUSE, VANIYANNUR,
IRINGAVOOR P.O, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI. K.RAKESH

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DISTRICT REGISTRAR(GENERAL),
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR (GENERAL), CIVIL STATION,
MALAPPURAM - 676 505.**
- 2. THE VANIYANNUR JUMAMASJID,
MAHALLU COMMITTEE (ISLAHUL MUSLMEEN SANGAM),
IRINGAVOOR P.O, TIRUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 676 103,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. C.K. SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 03.02.2015.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER AND OTHERS TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 11.03.2015.

EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 11.03.2015 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO EXHIBIT P2.

EXHIBIT P4: TRUE COPY OF THE WORKING REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2013-14, 2014-15, ISSUED IN THE NAME OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS - NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

ANIL K. NARENDRA, J.

W.P.(C). No. 32225 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of October, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner who is stated to be a member of the 2nd respondent committee filed this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the 1st respondent to consider Ext.P2 objection submitted by the petitioner and others against the application for renewal of registration of Islahul Muslimeen Sangam. The petitioner has also sought for a writ of mandamus commanding the 1st respondent to cancel renewal of registration already granted to the Islahul Muslimeen Sangam if the same is granted without taking a decision on Ext.P2 objection.

3. Today when the writ petition came up for admission, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is confining his relief for the

disposal of Ext.P2 objection.

4. I heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and also the learned Government Pleader appearing for the 1st respondent.

5. Considering the limited relief sought for the petitioner, notice to the 2nd respondent is dispensed with.

6. It is against the renewal of registration of Islahul Muslimeen Sangam, the petitioner has submitted Ext.P2 objection before the 1st respondent. If the aforesaid objection is yet to be disposed of, it is for the 1st respondent to consider the same with notice to the petitioner and also to the 2nd respondent and take an appropriate decision, strictly in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.

It is made clear that this Court has not

expressed anything on the merit of the objection raised by the petitioner in Ext.P2 and it is for the 1st respondent to take an appropriate decision in the matter, strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
ANIL K. NARENDRA
JUDGE

WW