

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/11TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 32170 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER(S):

ANIL.N.N., AGED 45 YEARS
S/O.NADESAN, NALUMAKKAL HOUSE, KOTHAPARAMBU
S.N PURAM P.O, KODUNGALLUR.

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT(S):

SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
THRISSUR 680 003.

R BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.N.SANJEETHA.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
02-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 32170 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1. COPY OF THE REGULAR PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.

EXHIBIT P2. COPY OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT DATED 12.10.15.

EXHIBIT P3. COPY OF THE REPLACEMENT APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER DATED 9.10.15.

EXHIBIT P4. COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 30199/2015 DATED 13.10.15.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.32170 of 2015

Dated this the 2nd day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner confines his relief to an early consideration of Ext.P3 application filed for replacement of the existing vehicle operating service on the route.

2. I direct the respondent to consider Ext.P3 application with due advertance to Ext.P4 judgment in W.P.(C) No.5509/2014 within a period of three weeks. the same shall be done with notice to the petitioner. The fact that the vehicle used for replacement is a leased one does not matter as held in Ext.P4 judgment.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj/2.11.15