

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/10TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No.36310 of 2009 (G)

PETITIONER(S):-

TARIK FIROZ, THANAL, KADAPPAKKADA P.O.,
KOLLAM 691 008.

BY ADVS. SRI.V.D.BALAKRISHNA KARTHA
SRI.C.S.ABDUL SAMMAD.

RESPONDENT(S):-

1. UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
TRIVANDRUM, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.
2. THE COMMISSIONER FOR ENTRANCE EXAMINATIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
3. THE PRINCIPAL,
TKM ENGINEERING COLLEGE, KOLLAM.

R1 BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS.
R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.T.J.MICHAEL.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
30-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:-

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 22.5.2009 DULY
RECOMMENDED BY THE PRINCIPAL OF SCT COLLEGE,
TRIVANDRUM.
- EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER
NO.ACD/2/T.TECH/14/IC/TRANSFER/2009 DATED 18.8.2009.
- EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER
NO.ACD/2/T.TECH/14/IC/TRANSFER/2009 DATED 29.8.2009.
- EXT.P4 TRUE COPY OF THE G.O.(MS) NO.65/2008/H.EDN. DT.1.7.2008.
- EXT.P5 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.ACD/2/46628/03 DATED 28.11.2003
ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P6 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.PRO/PIO/RTI/2354/2009
DATED 28.11.2009 ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
- EXT.P7 TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION NO.GAI/C3/5083/2009 DATED
30.6.2009 OF UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT.
- EXT.P8 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 18.11.2009
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.R1(a) TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 14.5.2009.

vkul/-

(true copy)

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

W.P(C) No.36310 of 2009-I

Dated this the 30th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner in the writ petition sought for an inter-college transfer, which was not permitted. The petitioner sought for a transfer from a self-financing institution to a Government College.

2. The learned Standing Counsel for the University submits that the petitioner was not granted the transfer and subsequent to the filing of the writ petition, the petitioner continued in the course and completed the course.

In the above circumstance, nothing survives in the writ petition and the same would stand dismissed.

Sd/-
K.Vinod Chandran
Judge.

vku/-

(true copy)