

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/28TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 31151 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

**SOUMYA RAJAN, AGED 32 YEARS
W/O.K.N. RATHEESH LAL
HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER (COMPUTER SCIENCE)
DESABHANDU HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, THACHAMPARA
PALAKKAD- 678 593.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION, HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS, SANTHI NAGAR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 3. THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF
HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, DOWN HILL
MALAPPURAM - 676 519.**
- 4. THE MANAGER,
DESABANDHU HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, THACHAMPARA
PALAKKAD - 678 593.**
- 5. THE PRINCIPAL,
DESABANDHU HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, THACHAMPARA
PALAKKAD - 678 593.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.P.PADMALAYAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE G.O(RT) 128/10/G.EDN. DATED 20/07/2010
ALONG WITH THE RELEVANT PAGE OF THE LIST.**

EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF THE G.O(MS) 211/2013/G.EDN. DATED 15/07/2013.

EXHIBIT-P3- TRUE COPY OF THE G.O(MS) 26/2014/G.EDN. DATED 01/02/2014

**EXHIBIT-P4- TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE
DATED 21/08/2011.**

**EXHIBIT-P5- TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 22/09/2011.**

**EXHIBIT-P6- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO. F/11711/2014/RDD/HSE/MLPM
DATED 14/01/2015 OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.31151 of 2015 - T

=====

Dated this the 20th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P5 appointment order filed before the 3rd respondent.

2. In such circumstance, the 3rd respondent definitely will have to look at the order filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 3rd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/ 20 /10 /2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.