

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/20TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 30897 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.K.JYOTHI, AGED 41 YEARS
WIFE OF K.P. BALAKRISHNAN NAIR
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (SOCIAL SCIENCE)
PERAMBRA HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, PERAMBRA
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 695001**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION
HOUSING BOARD BUILDING, SANTHI NAGAR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 695 001**
- 3. THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION
KOZHIKODE – 673020**
- 4. THE MANAGER
PERAMBRA HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, PERAMBRA
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT – 673525**
- 5. SMT. SUSMITHA
PERAMBRA HIGHER SECONDARY SHCOOL, PERAMBRA
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT – 673525**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A. LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 12-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 30897 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1: TRUE COPY OF THE MA (ENGLISH) CERTIFICATE
- EXT. P2: TRUE COPY OF THE B.ED (HINDI AND SOCIAL SCIENC)
CERTIFICATE
- EXT. P3: TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 01.09.2015

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

PA TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.30897 of 2015 - J

=====

Dated this the 12th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P3 appeal filed before the 3rd respondent. The representation is filed on 01.09.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 3rd respondent definitely will have to look at the appeal filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 3rd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/12 /10 /2015

// true copy //
P.A to Judge.