

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/20TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 30810 of 2015 (A)

-----

PETITIONER(S) :

-----

1. K.P JAISON , HEAD VEHICLE SUPERVISOR  
KSRTC, NORTH PARAVOOR DEPOT, NORTH PARAVOOR  
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.
2. A.S.SANTHOSH  
INSPECTOR,NORTH PARAVOOR DEPOT, NORTH PARAVOOR  
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.P.RAJEEVAN

RESPONDENT(S) :

-----

1. KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION  
REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR  
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM  
695 023.
2. THE ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER  
KSRTC, NORTH PARAVOOR DEPOT, NORTH PARAVOOR  
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.683 513

BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
12-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P(C)NO.30810/2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

P1-TRUE COPY OF MEMORANDUM DATED 6.10.2015 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE 1ST PETITIONER

P2-TRUE COPY OF MEMORANDUM DATED 6.10.2015 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE 2ND PETITIONER

P3-A TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 8.10.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE 1ST PETITIONER BEFORE THE FIRST RESPONDENT.

P4-A TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 8.10.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE 2ND PETITIONER BEFORE THE FIRST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS

NIL

TRUE COPY

SKS

P.A. TO JUDGE

**V. CHITAMBARESH, J**

-----  
**W.P.(C). NO. 30810 OF 2015**  
-----

Dated this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioners impugn their transfer from the North Paravoor, Depot to the Palakkad and Cherthala Depot respectively of the Kerala State Road Transport Corporation. The petitioners contend that they have not been served with the orders of transfer and that only the order relieving them from the station is served. The alleged incident seems to be the aftermath of the National Harthal on 02.09.2015 and no substitute is posted in the place of the petitioners.

2. The alleged incident took place on 02.09.2015 and Exts. P1 and P2 orders relieving them from Depot are seen issued one month thereafter. The petitioners have filed Exts.P3 and P4 representations seeking to cancel the orders of transfer. I do feel that an expeditious consideration of Exts. P3 and P4 representations are warranted in the circumstances. The petitioners have a case that they are

WP(C)NO.30810/2015

being victimised by their fellow workers for having discharged them duty on the harthal day.

3. I direct the first respondent to consider Exts. P3 and P4 representations with notice to the petitioners within a period of two weeks. The petitioners shall be allowed to work in the North Paravoor Depot till orders are passed on Exts. P3 and P4 representations as directed.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**V. CHITAMBARESH  
JUDGE**

sks