

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/6TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 30924 of 2014 (M)

PETITIONER(S) :

**ANITHAN K.P,
S/O.PRABHAKARAN, KAROTTU HOUSE, MANNAMPETTA,
P.O.VARAKKARA, THRISSUR,
REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY, BABY.C.P,
CHAKKALAKKAL HOUSE, PALISSERY P.O, THRISSUR.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
THRISSUR- 680 002.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.R.RANJITH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 30924 of 2014 (M)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.

**EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION IN M.P.NO.4330/2014 DATED 15/07/2014
BEFORE JFCM III,THRISSUR.**

EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 18/08/2014.

EXHIBIT P4: TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF GIBI.

**EXHIBIT P5: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN CMP 4423/14 IN CC 3862/14
DATED 12.12.2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No.30924 of 2014

Dated this the 25th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that an application for renewal and replacement was not considered by the respondent on the ground that there is an objection filed by a third party. The petitioner submits that there were some disputes pending between one Jibi Jacob and himself and the same have now been settled as per Ext.P4. In such circumstance if the original of Ext.P4 is produced before the authority, the authority shall issue notice to the said objector and finalise the renewal and replacement, in accordance with law, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.

Writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge