

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/11TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 30555 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER :

**KERALA KARSHAKA SAMRAKSHANA ASSOCIATION
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN N.K.SIVARAMAN
REG.NO.PKD/CA/262/2012, MONIES R CODE 10/35/11
PRIYADARSHINI ROAD, PALAKKAD-678001.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.BABU KUMAR
SRI.P.YADHU KUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. ADDL.SECRETARY
PARITHASTHIDHI (A) DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
CIVIL STATION, PALAKKAD-678001.**
- 3. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF FOREST
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FOREST
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, PARVARAM BHAVAN,
CGO COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003.**

**R1 TO R3 BY SPL. GOVT. PLEADER (FOREST) SRI.M.P.MADHAVANKUTTY
BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. C.K. SHERIN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

WP(C).No. 30555 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : PHOTOCOPY OF G.O. NO. 188/2013 DATED 21.12.2013.

**EXT.P2 PHOTOCOPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 21.8.2015.**

EXT.P3 PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER DATED 6.10.2015.

**EXT.P4 PHOTOCOPY OF THE NEWSPAPER REPORT APPEARED IN MALAYALA
MANORAMA DAILY DATED 24.10.2015.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No.30555 of 2015

Dated this the 2nd day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, alleging anomalies in the report of the first respondent before the Central Government in preparing ecologically sensitive area in the western ghat, approached the first respondent by Ext.P2. The petitioner seeks consideration of Ext.P2 by the first respondent.

2. Learned Government Pleader submits that already a report has been sent to the Central Government. Therefore, the petitioner's remedy is to approach the Central Government.

3. The petitioner points out that they are only pointing out the anomalies in the report already submitted and it is within the power of the first respondent to submit necessary erratum report to the Central Government.

Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that Ext.P2 shall be considered by the first respondent and if the first respondent is satisfied that there are anomalies in the

report already sent, it is open for the first respondent to send a supplemental report to the Central Government. Needful shall be done as expeditiously as possible.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

In