

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH 2015/11TH PHALGUNA, 1936

UNNUMB.Crl.Rev.Pet. of 2013
(ZCRRP No.4716 OF 2013)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CRA 906/2008 of THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE, VADAKARA DATED 20.6.2011

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN ST 4114/2005 of THE COURT OF JUDICIAL FIRST
CLASS MAGISTRATE, VADAKARA DATED 30.9.2008

REVISION PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED:

E.M. NARAYANAN, S/O.KANNAN,
EDAVALATH MEETHAL HOUSE, P.O MANOYLOOR
VATAKARA

BY ADV. SRI.K.I.SAGEER IBRAHIM

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT & STATE:

1. P.K. CHANDRAN, MANAGING DIRECTOR,
LICHU & LICHIN CHIT FUNDS PVT. LTD.
BR.NUT STREET VATAKARA
REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER SURENDRAN
S/O.CHATHU, EDATHUM MEETHAL HOUSE, PUTHUR P.O
VATAKARA PIN 673 507.

2. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM PIN 682 031.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.P.M.HABEEB
R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.N.SURESH

THIS UNNUMBERED CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP
FOR ORDERS ON 02-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

C.T.RAVIKUMAR, J.

Unnumbered Crl.R.P. of 2013
(ZCRRP No.4716 of 2013)
&
Crl.M.A.No.4716 of 2013

Dated 2nd March, 2015

ORDER

Crl.M.A.No.4716 of 2013

This revision petition is sought to be registered against the judgment dated 20.6.2011 in Crl.A.No.906 of 2008 of the Court of Additional District & Sessions Judge, Vadakara with a delay of 643 days. Crl.M.A.No.4716 of 2013 has been filed to condone the said delay of 643 days in filing the revision petition. Notice was ordered as early as on 1.7.2013. Subsequently, on 24.7.2013 this Court passed an order condoning the delay conditionally requiring the petitioner to pay an amount of ₹ 2,500/- to the first respondent/complainant within a period of one week from 24.7.2013. Subsequently, when this matter came up for consideration on 23.2.2015 in the defect list there was no representation for the petitioner. However, this Court passed an order requiring the learned counsel for the petitioner to ascertain and submit as to whether the condition in the order dated 24.7.2013 was complied with or not. It is for that purpose the case was ordered to be posted to 2.3.2015. Even when this matter is

taken up for consideration today there is no representation for the revision petitioner and it is a fact that the cost directed to be paid to the 2nd respondent is still remained unpaid. In the said circumstances, Crl.M.A.No.4716 of 2013 for condoning the delay of 643 days in filing the revision petition is dismissed.

Unnumbered Crl.R.P. of 2013(ZCRRP No.4716 of 2013)

Consequent to the dismissal of the petition for condoning the delay this revision petition, which is yet to be admitted, is rejected.

Sd/-
C.T.RAVIKUMAR
Judge

TKS