

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH 2015/11TH PHALGUNA, 1936

UNNUMB.Crl.Rev.Pet.of 2013
(ZCRRP No. 1403 OF 2013)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CRA 667/2011 of THE COURT OF SPECIAL
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE (MARAD CASES), KOZHIKODE DATED
11-01-2012

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CC 467/2010 of THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDICIAL
FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE (MARAD) CASES, KOZHIKODE DATED 16-08-2011

REVISION PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

K. SEKHAR REDDY, AGED 40 YEARS
S/O.KESAVA REDDY, SANITARY INSPECTOR
NISAM INSTITUTE OF SCIENCES, PANCHAGUDA, HYDERABAD
ANDHRA PRADESH-500 082.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.M.HARRIS
SRI.SRINATH GIRISH

RESPONDENTS:

1. DR.M H SUBRAMANYAM, AGED 62 YEARS,
S/O.HARIHARA IYER, MARATHAKAM, 5/2980 D
THIRUTHIYAD, P.O.PUTHIYARA, CALICUT-673004.

2. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA.

R 2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.SEENA RAMAKRISHNAN

THIS UNNUMBERED CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP
FOR ORDERS ON 02-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

C.T.RAVIKUMAR, J.

Unnumbered Crl.R.P. of 2013
(ZCRRP No.1403 of 2013)
&
Crl.M.A.No.1403 of 2013

Dated 2nd March, 2015

ORDER

Crl.M.A.No.1403 of 2013

This revision petition is sought to be registered against the judgment dated 11.1.2012 in Crl.A.No.667 of 2011 of the Court of Special Additional Sessions Judge (Marad Cases), Kozhikode with a delay of 294 days. Crl.M.A.No.1403 of 2013 has been filed to condone the said delay of 294 days in filing the revision petition. Notice was ordered as early as on 22.2.2013. Subsequently, on 3.6.2013 this Court passed an order condoning the delay conditionally requiring the petitioner to pay an amount of ₹ 500/- as cost to the Kerala State Legal Service Authority within a period of one week from 3.6.2013. Subsequently, when this matter came up for consideration on 24.2.2015 there was no representation for the petitioner and the case was posted to 2.3.2015. Even when this matter is taken up for consideration today there is no representation for the revision petitioner and it is a fact that the cost directed to be paid as per order dated 3.6.2013 is still remained unpaid. In the said circumstances,

Crl.M.A.No.1403 of 2013 for condoning the delay of 294 days in filing the revision petition is dismissed.

Unnumbered Crl.R.P. of 2013(ZCRRP No.1403 of 2013)

Consequent to the dismissal of the petition for condoning the delay this revision petition, which is yet to be admitted, is rejected.

Sd/-
C.T.RAVIKUMAR
Judge

TKS