

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

WEDNESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/15TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 30418 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER:

ROSILY SHAJI, W/O. SHAJI, AGED 41 YEARS,
KOLENCHERIL HOUSE, NOW RESIDING AT THAZHATHEL HOUSE,
KOLENCHERIL HOUSE, KADUTHURUTHY P.O., KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.S.NIDHEESH

RESPONDENTS:

1. KADUTHURUTHY CO-OPERATIVE RUBBER MARKETING & PROCESSING SOCIETY LTD. NO.1397, KADUTHURUTHY, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR - 686 604.
2. THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT OF THE KADUTHURUTHY CO-OPERATIVE RUBBER MARKETING & PROCESSING SOCIETY LTD. NO.1397, KADUTHURUTHY, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT.
3. THE JOINT REGISTRAR (GENERAL) OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, CIVIL STATION, KOTTAYAM - 686 002.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.K.C.SANTHOSHKUMAR
R1 BY ADV. SMT.K.K.CHANDRALEKHA
R BY SRI. K.C. VINCENT, SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07-10-2015, ALONG WITH W.P.(C) NO. 30442/2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 30418 of 2015 (B)

: 2 :

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE FIXED DEPOSIT RECEIPT DATED
19.08.2010.
EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 20.01.2015.
EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.(C) NO. 488/2015
DATED 20.07.2015.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P. (C) Nos. 30418 & 30442 of 2015

Dated this the 7th day of October, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Pleader and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent society, apart from perusing the record.

2. Both the writ petitions raise an identical issue involving the same set of respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners has brought to my notice that earlier this Court through Ext.P3 judgment (in W.P.(C) No. 30418/205) disposed of a batch of writ petitions under similar circumstances. According to him, the present writ petitions can also be disposed of with the same direction, as has been given in Ext.P3.

In the facts and circumstances, this Court disposes of the writ petitions in the light of the ratio laid down in Ext.P3 judgment. As a result, it is made clear that the claim of the petitioners shall also be considered simultaneously along with those of the petitioners in Ext.P3.

sd/- DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, JUDGE.

W.P.(C). No.

-2-