

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/13TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 30066 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER :

**SUDHA, AGED 59 YEARS
D/O.SAROJINI AMMA, PADINJATTA KOLLAYKAL HOUSE
EAST PAMBADI P.O., TALAPPILLY TALUK
THRISSUR DISTRICT.**

**BY SENIOR ADVOCATE SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN
BY ADV. SMT.P.RREENA**

RESPONDENT(S) :

**1. VILLAGE OFFICER
PAMBADI VILLAGE, THIRUVILWAMALA P.O.
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN-680 588.**

**2. TAHSILDAR
TALAPPILLY TALUK, VADAKKANCHERY
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN-680 582.**

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 30066 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1- TRUE COPY OF THE PRELIMINARY DECREE DATED 21.12.1996 OF
MUNSIFF COURT, WADAKKANCHERY IN OS NO.277/94.
- EXT. P2- TRUE COPY OF COMMISSION REPORT AND PLAN IN OS NO.277/94
DATED 02.02.2000.
- EXT. P3- TRUE COPY OF THE FINAL DECREE OF THE MUNSIFF COURT,
WADAKKANCHERY IN OS.NO.277/1994 DATED 22.02.2000.
- EXT. P4- TRUE COPY OF STAMP PAPER ENGROSSED FINAL DECREE IN
OS.NO.277/1994 OF MUNSIFF COURT, VADAKKANCHERY DATED
22.02.2000 WITHOUT EXT. C1 AND C2.
- EXT. P5- TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 02.09.2015 FILED BY THE
PETITIONER WITHOUT ENCLOSURE.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No. 30066 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 5th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner based on Ext.P3 final decree has approached the revenue officials to effect transfer of registry. The petitioner submits that he is in possession of the plot allotted to him as per the final decree. However the petitioner admits that he has not obtained delivery through the Execution Court.

2. The petitioner submits that since he is in possession of the plot allotted to him as per the final decree, transfer of registry shall be effected in his favour.

3. This Court is of the view that the revenue officials shall conduct an enquiry to find out whether the plot earmarked in the final decree belongs to the petitioner or not. If the plot allotted to the petitioner is in possession of the petitioner based on the final decree, necessarily the transfer of registry shall be effected in favour of the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of the copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of, as above.

sd/-

sab

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

