

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/15TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29959 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER:

**E.V.PRAMOD
S/O. KUNHAPPA
ILLATHVALAPPIL HOUSE
P.O. CHIRAKKAL,
KANNUR DISTRICT**

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KASARGOD 671 121**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA K.A.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
07-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 29959 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**P1 : COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 03.09.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER**

P2 : COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 25.09.2015

P3 : COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 05.09.2008 IN W.P.(C). NO. 12680/2008

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 29959 OF 2015

Dated this the 07th day of October, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner confines his relief to an early consideration of Ext. P1 application for replacement of the vehicle. The petitioner relies on Ext. P3 judgment to contend that the No Objection of the financier is not required for the purpose of replacement of the vehicle.

2. I direct the respondent to consider Ext. P1 application with due advertance to Ext. P3 judgment untrammelled by Ext. P2 notice. The same shall be done after hearing the petitioner within a period of two weeks.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS