

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/29TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 29911 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER:

KUSHALA KUMARI, AGED 41 YEARS,
W/O.RADHAKRISHNA PILLAI,
RESIDING AT KAILASAM, THUNDUVILA VEEDU,
PEREYAM,
UMAYANALLOOR P.O, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.AJIVAS

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE CITY POLICE COMMISSIONER,
KOLLAM DISTRICT
PIN - 691 001.
2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHATHANNOOR CIRCLE, CHATHANNOOR,
KOLLAM DISTRICT PIN - 691 572.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOTTIYAM POLICE STATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN - 691 571.
4. NIZARUDEEN,
NEAR PEREYAM READING ROOM, NIZAM MANZIL,
THAZHUTHALA VILLAGE, KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 573.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.T.SHEEBA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 21-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 29911 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P-1: THE TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1 - 3 RESPONDENTS, DATED
20.4.2015

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

ab

P.UBAID, J.

W.P(C) No.29911 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The writ petitioner herein is the wife of the accused in a crime registered by the Kottiyam Police under the provision of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act. The writ petitioner seeks a direction by way of writ of mandamus for an effective investigation in the crime. On 1.10.2015 this Court directed the learned counsel for the writ petitioner to address the court why the writ petition is not filed by the really aggrieved person, or why the wife of the accused has come with this writ petition. Now there is no representation for the writ petitioner. The writ petition or any connected matter does not explain why the wife has brought this writ petition when the really aggrieved person is her husband, or why the husband does not come before Court with any grievance as regards the process of investigation. The writ petitioner has not produced the copy of the complaint or the FIR. It is not known how the writ petitioner alleges, without having any of the necessary materials, that investigation is not being properly conducted. I find no

merit in this writ petition, which is not brought by the really aggrieved person. Hence, this writ petition is dismissed in limine, without being admitted to files.

**Sd/-
P.UBAID
JUDGE**

//True Copy//

P.A to Judge

ab