

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/6TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29897 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER :

**SAYOOJ.P.K, AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.SANAL.P.K, ELAYASSERI, PATTANOOR.P.O
KANNUR DISTRICT, PIN-670101.**

BY ADV. SRI.PAUL K.VARGHESE

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KANNUR DISTRICT-670101.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT INDUSTRIAL OFFICER,
KANNUR DISTRICT-670101.**
- 3. THE TAHSILDAR,
REVENUE RECOVERY, TALASSERY, KANNUR DISTRICT
PIN-670101.**
- 4. THE MANAGER,SYNDICATE BANK,
KUTTIATTOOR BRANCH, KUTTIATTUR.P.O.,
KANNUR DISTRICT-670101.**

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. LILLY K.T.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE CERFIICATE ISSUED IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 6/6/2014
- P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE PERMISSION GRANTED BY SECRETARY
KUTTIYATTOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH DATED 3/2/14.
- P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE STOP MEMO ISSUED BY SECRETARY KUTTIYATTUR
GRAMA PANCHAYATH TO THE PETITIONER DATED 22/9/2014
- P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE TAHASILDAR REVENUE
RECOVERY THALASSERY TO THE PETITIONER DATED 13/72015.
- P4(a): COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE TAHSILDAR REVENUE RECOVERY
THALASSERY TO ME DT 13/7/2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.
.....
W.P.(C).No.29897 of 2015
.....
Dated this the 28th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner had approached this Court aggrieved by the recovery steps initiated at the instance of the 4th respondent for recovery of loan amounts that were advanced to the petitioner. When the matter came up for admission on 01.10.2015, this Court by an interim order, had stayed the recovery proceedings against the petitioner on condition that the petitioner paid an amount of Rs.25,000/- within two weeks from the date of the order. The interim order was thereafter extended on 21.10.2015 to enable the petitioner to effect the payment. When the matter was called up today, it is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has not complied with the directions in the interim order dated 01.10.2015. Taking note of the said submission, I am of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to the discretionary relief of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the present writ petition.

Resultantly, the writ petition fails and is accordingly dismissed.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

