

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/8TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29649 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER(S):

RAJEEV R. AGED 49 YEARS
S/O.K.N.RAGHAVAN NAIR, SREERAGAM,
PERUMKULAM P.O, KOTTARAKKARA-691566
(AT PRESENT WORKING AS EMPANELLED CONDUCTOR AT
CHADAYAMANGALAM DEPOT OF KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT
CORPORATION)

BY ADV. SRI.R.PUSHPANGATHAN PILLAI

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 023.
2. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
KOTTARAKKARA DEPOT-689 645
3. ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
CHADAYAMANGALAM DEPOT - 691 001.

R BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, STANDING COUNSEL, KSRTC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
30-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 29649 of 2015 (H)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P-1: A TRUE COPY OF ORDER NO.PL.16/014915/12 DATED 1.9.2015
ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
EXT.P2- TRUE COPY OF S.S.L.C OF THE PETITIONER.
EXT.P3- TRUE COPY OF CASH RECEIPTS NO.15252 DATED 13.10.1993.
EXT.P4-TRUE COPY OF THE DUTY PASS NO.34904 IN RESPECT OF THE PETITIONER
EXT.P5-TRUE COPY OF CONDUCTOR'S LICENCE 24/92/2009 DATED 12.11.2012
EXT.P6-TRUE COPY OF OFFICE MEMORANDUM NO.E1-3161/93/KTR DATED
13.10.1993.
EXT.P7-TRUE COPY OF COMMUNICATION NO.GL3/PIO NO.4060/15/KTR DATED
26.9.2015 INCLUDING DUTY DETAILS
EXT.P8-TRUE COPY OF G.O(MS)NO.78/2011/TRAN DATED 22.12.2011
EXT.P9-TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 27.5.2015 IN W.P(C) NO.12427/15.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS

NIL

TRUE COPY

SKS

P.A. TO JUDGE

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.29649 of 2015

Dated this the 30th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner asserts that the period of service put in by him has not been correctly reckoned. The petitioner relies on Ext.P7 communication as regards his duty details.

2. There is evidently a non-application of mind in Ext.P1 order. The claim for regularization is seen rejected by Ext.P1 order.

3. I quash Ext.P1 order for the purpose of reconsideration in the light of Ext.P7 duty details. The first respondent shall reconsider the issue with notice to the petitioner within a period of two months.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.**

sks

