

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/7TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29515 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

**U.V.ANUSHA, LABORATORY ASSISTANT
V.R. APPU MASTER MEMORIAL HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
THAIKKAD SOUTH, THRISSUR DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT'
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION
HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**
- 3. THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION, ERANAKULAM AT EDAPPALLY 683 024**
- 4. THE MANAGER, V.R. APPU MASTER MEMORIAL HIGHER
SECONDARY SCHOOL, THAIKKAD SOUTH,
THRISSUR DISRICT – 680 104.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A. LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 29-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 4.3.13 OF THE PETITIONER.
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 25.09.15 OF THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 20.8.15
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE G.O(RT) NO.1577/2015/G.EDN. DATED 25.4.15 OF THE GOVERNMENT
- EXT.P5 COPY OF THE ORDER NO.A3/5212/15/RDD/HSE DATED 4.7.15 OF THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.29515 of 2015 - L

=====

Dated this the 29th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P3 revision filed before the 1st respondent. The revision is filed on 20.08.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.