

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/7TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29514 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.C.VINAYARAJAN, AGED 42 YEARS
SON OF A.P MADHAVAN NAIR
UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL ASSISTANT
S D V HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
PERAMANGALAM, THRISSUR DIST.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE
SECRETARY TO GOVT.
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPT., SECRETARIAT
TRIVANDRUM 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, TRIVANDRUM 695 014.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
THRISSUR AT AYYANTHOLE 680 003**
- 4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
THRISSUR DIST. 680 020**
- 5. THE MANAGER,
S D V HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
PERAMANGALAM
THRISSUR DIST. 680 545**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 29-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 13.7.12 OF THE PETITIONERS
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B5/6236/2012/K.DIS. DATED 30.10.12 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B1-17926/12 DATED 6.3.13 OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION.
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 10.10.14.
- EXT.P5 COPY OF THE ORDER NO.EC(4)/18201/13/DPI/K.DIS DATED 21.4.14 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P5(A) COPY OF THE ORDER NO.EC-4/54049/14/DPI/K.DIS. DATED 28.04.15 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P5(B) COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B5-7984/13/K.DIS DATED 20.6.14 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P6 COPY OF THE G.O(MS)NO.203/2013/G.EDN. DATED 2.7.13 OF THE GOVT.
- EXT.P7 COPY OF THE LETTER NO.B5/7107/14 DATED 4.3.15 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.29514 of 2015 - L

=====

Dated this the 29th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P4 revisions filed before the 2nd respondent. The revision is filed on 10.10.2014.

2. In such circumstance, the 2nd respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 2nd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //
P.A to Judge.