

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/7TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29476 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER(S):

**BIJI.A.R, W/O RAJESH KUMAR C.P
CHANIYIL HOUSE, HOUSE NO.21/2178-A
NELSON MANDELA ROAD, PALLURUTHIVELI
COCHIN-6, PRESENTLY WORKING AS LPSA IN
SDPY SCHOOL, PALLURUTHI, COCHIN - 6**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.CHANDRASEKHAR
SRI.S.PRASANTH
SRI.SOORAJ T.ELENJICKAL
SRI.P.A.MOHAMMED SHAH
SMT.UMA
SRI.K.NANDAKUMAR
SMT.V.A.HARITHA**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY SECRETARY TO GOVT.
GOVT. OF KERALA, DEPARTMET OF GENERAL EDUCATION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
ERNAKULAM - 682 030**
- 3. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATION OFFICER
MATTANCHERY 682 003**
- 4. THE MANAGER, SDPY (M) LPS, PALLURUTHY
CHOCHIN - 682 006.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 29-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT
DTD.8.7.02.
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BY THE MANAGER AGAINST THE
ORDER OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT BEFORE THE DISTRICT
EDUCATION OFFICER, ERNAKULAM ON 19.09.2002.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE G.O(RT) NO.3860/06/GEDN. DATED 29.08.06.
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD.8.7.02.
- EXT.P5 COPY OF THE STAFF LIST.
- EXT.P6 COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION DATED 2.7.15 OF THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P7 COPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT DATED 8.7.15
RECEIVED FROM 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: **NIL**

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.29476 of 2015 - H

=====

Dated this the 29th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P6 revision filed before the 1st respondent. The revision is filed on 02.07.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.