

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/23RD ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29414 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER:

**S. NAMDEV RANGAPPA NAIK, AGED 60 YEARS
34/436, VIGNEESH, PUTHUKUDI PARAMBA, CIVIL STATION (PO)
KOZHIKODE, (RETIRED DEPUTY MANAGER (ACCOUNTS)
LAKSHADWEEP DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD).**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.SASIKUMAR
SRI.S.ARAVIND
SRI.P.S.RAGHUKUMAR**

RESPONDENTS:

**1. LAKSHADWEEP DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
KOCHI-682 036, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.**

**2. THE GENERAL MANAGER
LAKSHADWEEP DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
KOCHI-682 036**

BY ADV. SRI.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, SC, LAKSHADWEEP ADMN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
15-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1 - COPY OF THE ORDER 27/04/1990 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P2 - COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 11/02/1991 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P3 - COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 12/10/2098 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P4 - COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 13/03/2006 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P5 - COPY OF THE PROMOTION POLICY EXISTING IN THE 1ST RESPONDENT CORPORATION.
- EXT.P6 - COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 05/03/2011 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P7 - COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 06/02/2014.
- EXT.P8 - COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 20/07/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P9 - COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 01/09/2015 FORWARDED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.29414 of 2015

Dated this the 15th day of October, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P9 representation dated 01.09.2015 filed before the first respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P9 within a reasonable time.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P9 representation as expeditiously as possible and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the first respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

//True Copy//

sp

P.A. to Judge.